Theater and Dance 200

February 13th, 2025 | 12:00 PM CST



Legislative Body Meeting Agenda

<u>Land Acknowledgement</u> ~ *Liv Peterson* ~ 2 minutes

We would like to acknowledge that Macalester College and the College Archives are located on the traditional, ancestral and contemporary lands of the Wahpékhute band of Dakhóta Oyáte, the Dakota nation. We make this acknowledgement to respect and affirm the sovereignty of the Dakota people, ancestors and descendants, and to respect the land itself. We recognize that this acknowledgment is but a first step in recognizing and dismantling aggressive and persistent policies of settler colonialism that continue to oppress to this day. These are the contexts in which the archives functions to this day. The work of acknowledgement must be paired with active practices like the amplification of Indigenous voices and land repatriation in order to be substantive and meaningful. With thanks to Jennings Mergenthal, Class of 2021, for their time and effort in crafting this land acknowledgement.

Speaker's Announcement: ~ *Liv Peterson*

- Liv: All members and guests should be aware that this meeting is open to the Macalester community and may be reported on by the Mac Weekly. Minutes are taken and added to the website. LB members can request additional agenda items for future LB meetings by emailing the Macalester College Student Government email.

Committee Updates ~ *Joel Sadofsky* ~ 5 minutes

- Provost's Student Advisory Committee ~ Felicia Winfrey
 - Felicia: Hi, I'm Felicia, I use she/her pronouns and I'm a senior. I'm on two committees. We meet with the provost once a month for an hour. We're the only groups of students she regularly talks to so she'll ask us questions but we also

bring things to her. It's only 2 people which is something that could be improved upon. It's only once a month for an hour but we often lack some committed members. She's awesome. If anyone has anything that you'd like her to hear more of feel free to reach out to me.

• Student Affairs Advisory Committee ~ Felicia Winfrey

- Felicia: We have only had one meeting this entire year, last semester. It was good but we've only had one. It didn't have a consistent time versus the Provost's which is at 8:30 every first Tuesday of the month. Hopefully we'll soon start meeting with Katheryn on a more consistent basis. She has a lot more contact with students which is awesome but for the Provost, it's really, truly just us. Any questions? Should I expand on anything?
- Tristan: What topics do you bring up to the provost?
 - Felicia: I talked about commencement being inside and how every senior I've talked to is upset about that. We talk a lot about academic culture and the culture of students. I talked to her about how much it's appreciated when professors take time to make sure people know each other's names in each other's class and she said she'd bring that up when she talks to all the department chairs. She oversees a lot of the college especially around the academic sphere. Thanks to you guys for the work that you do.

GSAT Bill Update ~ *Tristan Niedzielski* ~ 5 minutes

● **■** B0047

- Tristan: For the GSAT bill. It's a pretty simple change. We're reducing the number of spots from 25 to 15 but being able to increase the actual amount of the grant from \$100 to \$175. This has been a long time coming and we finally decided to make this change because we never had enough people applying to fill the spots so we were just having that money go into rollover and it wasn't being effectively used. We were also hearing from students that they wanted more of their exam to be covered because \$100 doesn't cover even half of the cost. So \$175 will increase that. Then, dropping down to 15 which is still more than the number of applicants than we've received in the past 5 years. This still gives us room to increase communication and engagement so that hopefully we do fill all these spots. The only other important thing is that it'll go from \$5,000 to \$5,250 each year just to work out the \$175 for 15 wasn't evenly divisible for \$5,000.
 - David: How many applications do you usually get?
 - Tristan: anywhere from 8-12.
 - Tor: What kind of other endeavors would the AAC use left over funds for?
 - Tristan: The only thing we've come up with is test book prep. We've had more discussion and decided that doesn't totally make sense. This would go into the roll over fund which isn't a huge deal. Even as we're making the decision to reduce the number of available grants, we still want to encourage more students to apply for it. Even if we have more than 15, it goes to the students who have the highest demonstrated need so it's fine if we have 20, we still give it to the students who need it the most. So in appearance

it looks like we're decreasing the amount of work we're doing around engagement but the plan is to actually expand what that looks like.

- Tristan: If there's no other questions, I'm going to make a motion. Motion to approve Bill 47. [seconds]

- IN FAVOR: 24 - AGAINST: 1 - ABSTAIN: 0

Chartering Racquetball Club ~ *Alec Chen* ~ 5 minutes

- E Charter of Racquetball Club
 - Alec: Hello. This is Racquetball Club which will be playing racquetball. They'll be as inclusive as possible and they'll hold bi-weekly meetings I think. If anyone has any questions, let me know.
 - Owen King: Hi. I'm racquetball guy. Hi. I'm Owen King, co-president of racquetball club. We play bi-weekly and we'd love to be chartered because we want new racquets. The ones Macalester has ... I'd say we have about 1.5 racquets left. Just enough not to get chartered. So it'd be nice if we could get chartered. Thank you.
 - Chloe: If you could give us a general overview of the game play of racquetball. What is typically needed for a tournament? How long do they last?
 - Owen: It's similar to squash. You have a ball that you hit against the wall and you only want it to bounce once against the ground and then you try to hit it a second time before it hits the ground. If your opponent fails to do so you get a point. We've never actually had a tournament yet but they're designed like any other tournament. Just a group and we'd divide it based on skill level. Any other questions?
 - Alec: Motion to pass racquetball club.

IN FAVOR: 24AGAINST: 0ABSTAIN: 1

- Liv: Racquetball is chartered.

Resolution Calling for Divestment ~ *Tristan Niedzielski* ~ 5 minutes

- ER0016 Resolution Calling for Institutional Divestment Related to the...
 - Liv: Was there any questions that people didn't have the opportunity to ask before hand?
 - Tristan: Motion to pass Resolution 15 "Resolution Calling for Institutional Divestment Related to the Genocide of Palestinians by Israel" [seconds]

FAVOR: 18AGAINST: 4ABSTAIN: 1

- Liv: The resolution passes.

Introduction of Bill for Special Elections ~ *AnLian Krishnamurthy* ~ 12 minutes

- E MCSG Special Election Amendment
- Discussion
 - AnLian: So before I introduce the bill I want to give a disclaimer that I'm not at all trying to get rid of elections so please don't write that as a headline Mac Weekly. Because of recent events with special elections triggering special elections, it's gotten to the point where elections have lost meaning because they happen all the time and the same position is being put up like 4 times in a row. My bill aims to keep the three election cycles. Exec board in the spring, sophomore to senior immediately after and the election for freshmen reps in the fall. After each election is done and the position is still empty, permit the president to nominate an individual to fill a role with ½ approval required by the LB. The same procedure in the case of a vacancy such as leaving or taking a semester off.
 - Tristan: I have a number of issues with this bill. First, we already have an issue with MCSG being perceived as distant from the student body and this is further centralization of power which I think reflects poorly on MCSG more broadly among the student body. That's my main concern. I'd be open to something that allowed for one special election and then a second procedure. This legislation is writing into our legislation what the exception is, what is happening when the exception happens. Which is, we have a bunch of special elections which is not ideal. But I think one special election makes a lot of sense and helps fill roles while still getting student body input. Even if there aren't a lot of people voting in them I think it's good governance to allow student input. also thinking about the points of modeling this governance system like the American governance system. Special elections happen in the U.S. governance system and are really important and crucial. I think there can be value in nominating someone to serve in the interim which often happens in the U.S. governance system but this pure nomination system is just a centralization of power which doesn't serve the student body.
 - AnLian: Ok, so you'd be ok with one special election and then after you're willing to have an appointment? I'm willing to negotiate. Maybe not that but maybe no special elections in the second semester. This term is so short that you don't necessarily need an election. I think the cost of voter fatigue because there are so many elections, it depends how you weigh that versus the possible centralization of power. I don't think this will happen because I made it clear that the vacancy should be publicly announced and there's a pretty good check with having ²/₃ approval.

- David: How many special elections have we had in the past in the average year?
 - AnLian: For me there's been 3 maybe 4 in the year I've been on MCSG.
- Emma: To me it would make more sense to fill positions that didn't get filled in the spring in that first fall election for first year reps. It fulfills the role of giving people a second chance. It can be difficult to commit to something in the spring for the next academic year. Changing it from we're not going to do special elections at all after Spring to we're going to run it again in the fall?
- AnLian: My original idea was to move all elections to the fall
 No? Ok.
 - Ryan: If you look at the vacancies on the very bottom where it says "Other Vacancy Rules," one of the things we did add is if half of EPC decides to fill a vacant position with a special election they can vote to do so. I think this limits the scope of this to where it's specific circumstances where the president appoints somebody they can just be overridden by the EPC. If you look at this like if we have a month left of the semester, the president can make an appointment but if it's September or October and we want a special election, the EPC can do that without the president's approval.
 - Cem: Since I'm seeing a lot of different opinions that a lot of people would be willing to amend these. I propose to table the amendment.
 - Liv: We are not voting on it. We'll introduce it this week and then vote on it next.
- Cooper: I have a couple problems. I understand the issues of voter fatigue but I also think it's good to have these elections. Maybe if we have a filing period and we only have one candidate then we maybe don't need to have a full special election for that. Sometimes there will be a contested special election and it should be up to who wants to run and who the students think should be in that role. Just encouraging democracy as much as possible.
- Emi: I can give a data point or two. We usually average about 2 special elections a year. This year feels dense because we've had 2 back to back in a semester. Typically it's one spring, one fall. One thing to note is we're scheduled to have 2 elections as is because we do exec and then LB. A lot of schools do them all on one ballot which is something to note if we're looking at election fatigue looking at the number of regular elections.
- Joel: I think it's ridiculous that we have a special election triggered by a special election. I completely believe that voter fatigue is a

huge issue. A possible middleground is only running a special election if there's a whole semester left. At the same time I think we need a cut off to determine when in the year is too late to hold the president to securing an interim appointment. There's a scenario where it's late in the semester and it's just not possible to appoint someone for the last 3 weeks of the school year.

- AnLian: I have a question. When there's a vacancy because no one's run, how is that determined when it gets put up?
 - Joel: EPC has been operating on the principle of running a special election when a new vacant position opens up. We're not having another special election because we couldn't fill up our class reps from our other special election, we're having a special election because David was elected as FAC Chair therefore opening up a position for sophomore class rep.
 - AnLian: But because there was a junior class rep open, you added that.
 - Joel: Yes. We added all the open positions.
 Which is generally how EPC has operated.
- Elizabeth: Ryan, in this bill the EPC could overrule the president's decision. How would that work? Would the president need to appoint someone by default or is there a communication by email?
 - Ryan: communication would occur when a special election would occur. There's a communication whether they'll have a special election or allow the president to make an appointment.
- Marina: I wanted to share my opinion on voter fatigue. I agree it can be a problem but I wanted to offer another perspective. Voter engagement is part of the way we get student engagement and I think it's important for it to exist in the capacity it does. It's a chance for new people to run where it's not as scary for the beginning of the semester. Spring semester is a great time for a lot of people and we've seen people spark new interest in a special election and I think it's a good opportunity for engagement that we might not otherwise have had if we do this. Especially because of how it would end up working if the president picks someone.
- AnLian: Would people rather have this only be a thing in the spring semester or not at all? What's the feeling in the room?
 - Joel: I would be very interested in passing something that made it so we weren't required to hold special elections once the spring semester has begun for vacancies that have opened after the spring semester has begun. If you're open to writing language for that I'd be happy to help.

- AnLian: So, for vacancies that are still open from the election cycle to spring semester, those would also be open to appointment, you think?
 - Joel: I would think.
- Tristan: It sounds like there's a couple of different ideas. I'm wondering if there's value in sending out an informal google doc. Just thinking of ways we can get things done throughout the week instead of waiting for next week to be a formal process.
- Ryan: I was going to say that this is just the introduction. This is still open so AnLian and I can work on getting a form to get people's input.

Introduction of Resolution to Alter BoT Liaison Guidelines $\sim Tor \ Olsson \sim 9$ minutes

- R0017 A Resolution Calling for Changes to the Student Liaison to th...
- Discussion
 - Tor: I'm introducing a resolution calling for changes of the Student Liaison's position and other measures to increase transparency. If you have any other lingering questions please put it in the document. This is the culmination of about 4 years of work and brainstorming about increasing transparency between the student body and the BOT. There's 4 main points in this resolution. I wrote this and edited it in light of the BOT making a bunch of really important financial decisions that reflect the vision and core of who we are as a college. Seeing that the student body and liaison have been left in the dark in regard to these decisions the most recent one being the Mac for Palestine divestment proposal but there's been so many. There's currently a call for a removal of the student liaison which would allow the chair of the Board and the President to remove the liaison without agreement of any student representative. I think this is dangerous because if the student liaison is going to be removed there should be student input. The next one is asking the BOT to formally amend its bylaws to include the position descriptions of both the student liaison and Mac Weekly. Mac Weekly, please let me know if that exists, I wasn't able to find it. I think this allows for if the BOT didn't want either of those positions at their meetings they can say it's not written in the bylaws, you guys can't come. The next one is asking for MCSG in collaboration with administration, SLT, and the Board to collaboratively publish meeting summaries on the website. Right now, the liaison writes up summaries and emails them to the student body which I think works really well but if we could publish those to the website and have a little bit more accountability there. Almost half of our peer 40 schools do this, so it's not unprecedented in any way. The last point is formally requesting that the student liaison have one on one semesterly meetings with the chair of the Board which I think would increase communication and transparency between the Board and student priorities. You'll see that Gabe has made some comments, it's not a perfect resolution as it is and

I'll be meeting with them later this week. Hopefully they'll come to the next LB meeting where we're going to try to vote on this. In the meantime, if you have any questions, concerns I'll take them now or you can put them in email or in the doc. Thank you so much.

- Liv: Anyone have anything?
- AnLian: This would give the liaison voting power in MCSG?
 - Tor: It would not give them voting power in MCSG. I'm not sure what part of this you're asking about.
 - AnLian: Right now they can't vote for anything in MCSG, right?
 - Tor: Yeah they're not a voting member of MCSG. This wouldn't be changing that or making them a voting member of Board meetings either. It would just be trying to increase transparency with Board meetings and what's going on there.
- Tor: Any other questions?
 - Joel: I was going to say that I'm happy to give some context on how the student liaison selection process works. If anyone wants to know more about that I'm happy to answer those questions.
- Liv: Thanks!

Introduction of Resolution for Graduation Venue Reconsideration $\sim Ryan$ $Connor \sim 3$ minutes

- R0018 Resolution Calling for a Reconsideration for the 2025 Gradua...
 - Ryan: As the seniors in the room know there was an announcement that the commencement ceremony for our class would primarily and only be held in the fieldhouse. This departs from previous precedent of previous years since at least COVID where it was on the stadium and the field house would be the rain location which has only occurred once in the last 3 years. After the announcement came out I worked with Sofia Vaz, head of PB, and Liz Kelly-Doan, who wrote a petition asking them to reconsider their decision, in some meetings. To put it shortly, those meetings were incredibly unproductive. We didn't get a whole lot out of them about communication, justification, or anything at all. We were pretty frustrated. The petition that Liz Kelly-Doan sent out which currently has 100 signatures didn't get any response after a week. This got Sofia and I feeling like there needed to be another push because this decision was not really made with many students involved. There's one student that sits on the Commencement Planning Committee and she said that she raised this concern months ago that people will be upset about this and it doesn't make any sense. Essentially, they overruled her and kept going on with the plan to have commencement only in the field house. When we pushed them on it they said it wasn't a financial concern which made us question why the change is happening at all if it's not financial. To this they had essentially no answer. They didn't want to release a statement or have a forum to open it up to the student body. Their communication has not been good and we're not very happy with them. With this resolution, we're asking them to reconsider their decision which is a bit of a long shot but they need to know the student body as a whole is upset about this. They've also essentially

ignored student input in decisions that really only directly impact the senior class. When we said, why aren't you asking the seniors who are going to graduate, these are the people who are involved in this, they said they need to consider the grandparents who'll be attending the commencement. I'm not sure how they're going to reach out to these grandparents but if they do, that will be another source of input for them. What some of the asks are in the therefore, first of all is to reconsider their decision. Second is to commit to larger student involvement in the planning of our own commencement. Currently there's one member who sits on the Commencement Planning Committee. I don't remember how they're selected but we're asking for two students of the graduating class and one member of the junior class in conjunction with MCSG so, a little bit like a campus committee. To release the yearly location of commencement and any major plans because one of their largest justifications in the change is it's too late but we didn't know about it until 2 weeks ago. We're asking them to release any changes in location announcements about this in the fall which would also help with travel plans with people who have family all across the world. Depending on if it's in the field house or in the stadium this greatly impacts the amount of seat availability and how many people can attend. We're asking for more transparency and a larger timeline in this process that's not condensed into the last 3 months.

- Alec: You're asking them to move the location and they're saying it's too late. Would you also include an ask to push graduation? Would the seniors be okay with pushing graduation back?
 - Ryan: We're not asking them to push graduation back because that would be a little too much within this context. That whole process would be a little chaotic. We're asking for that extended timeline, at least in the future.
- Joel: A continued challenge of Mac's fundraising strategy is getting engagement and donations from recent alumni. To me we seem like a cohort worth appearing sometimes.
- Ryan: That's one of the largest points I brought up in the meetings.

 Donations from the last 3 classes have been rock bottom compared to other classes and they didn't really see that as consequential or respond in any way.
- Chloe: Firstly, sorry you guys are going through all of this. Who have you been corresponding with? Also, if you have a complete plan of what you would do alternatively. You don't want to hold it in the gym but you want to hold it in the field house?
 - Ryan: To the first part, we've been communicating with the chairs. To the second part, typically the default is the football field and the rain location is the fieldhouse. So we'd stick to the same plan as last year and typical years. Two years ago it was in the fieldhouse so with all the chairs and the basketball courts and all that.
- Tristan: I want to put strong support behind this. This runs typical of the SLT of making decisions without student input. But also what is your expectation, I'm still going to support this no matter what, but what is

your expectation? Do you expect that there is any potential for them to agree to this?

- Ryan: To relocate the commencement location for this year is a long shot. We're obviously going to pass this and keep pushing for as much as we can. We have the expectation that this is our main plan but also want to get some other things out of it like more student input in the long run. If they don't agree to relocate we'll try to get some other things so it doesn't happen in the future.
- Emi: Since one of the core things mentioned in the resolution is around accessibility, have there been conversations with Center for Disability Resources around what accessibility looks like for an indoor commencement versus outdoor?
 - Ryan: They said they haven't spoken with Disability Resources and they were doing this based off of their observations from the ceremony outside. We asked can we bring this to Disability Resources, we don't know what their process looks like. It's a very nebulous thing. We had some points that it's easier to make this accessible outside where there's a flat surface and a track than the LB where there's one elevator and a bunch of stairs. They said they're saving money from this even though this isn't the reason they're doing this. We asked them to enhance the LC anyways.
 - Emi: Sorry, can I qualify my question? Have seniors who've expressed this as a concern had a conversation with the Center for Disability Services?
 - Ryan: That's our next step. This resolution I wrote last night at 11pm after our meeting with them at 4pm. One of our next steps over the next week before next Thursday is to talk to them.
 - Marina: Is there anything the current juniors can do to advance this to make sure that no matter what your guys' output is that we can continue doing the work for our year if they're still going to act like this next year?
 - Ryan: Sign the petition. It was 2025 at first but we're going to open this to every class. Have as many people as you know to sign this. This is a decision that'll impact every class year after us. Theoretically if we were to vote on this last week it'd be great to say that we have 250 signatures that's expressing student voice. Have as many people as you know to sign it and if we get them to have a junior class representative on the committee, that'll be another opportunity.
 - Tristan: Is there any reason in the bylaws that we can't just vote on this right now?
 - Ryan: There's not.
 - Joel: I'm pulling up the bylaws.

- Ryan: If we were to vote on this right now I'd want to amend some of the language I left open to put a number of the seniors who signed the petition. But we can look at that.
- Joel: I believe that Ryan is correct that you are allowed to motion. Typically we dont to give time for discussion but everyone has the right to motion right now.
- Tristan: I motion to pass resolution 18.

- IN FAVOR: 25

- AGAINST: 0

- ABSTAIN: 0

- Liv: Resolution 18 passes.
- Ryan: Thanks, guys. Glad we got this done a week early. This is an issue for the entire student body.

Cabinet Updates ~ *Cabinet Liaisons* ~ 7 minutes

- Health & Wellness
 - Emma: No major updates for this week but as always please reach out to me if there's any concerns.
- Athletics & Recreation
 - Ash: Club sports athletes had the opportunity to get general first aid and field trained especially for those without coaches. I have a meeting scheduled with Felicia and Steve next week to talk about making that a regular/required thing.
- Infrastructure & Sustainability
 - Sam: I reached out to the head of facilities and Megan Butler, the sustainability person. Got a dialogue going with them and we're going to start working on things soon.
- Belonging & Accessibility
 - Philomena: Willow met with Disability Services and talked about how MCSG can support their events and build their connections.
- Dining & Residential Life
 - Galjer: Students will get an email about instructions about housing next week. There was an MDAC meeting this Tuesday and hopefully there'll be an email sent out about it. I want to be transparent with the student body and LB about what was discussed. We have some really great updates. We had some really great updates about some of the survey responses. After the survey, there's been a new sourced chicken. They used to source just chicken thighs but now they're sourcing chicken breasts, as well. They had an internal safety team audit. They had one on January 22. Rotations of fruits are being served now at breakfast. Before chefs had more freewill to create their meals but now Bon Appetit is having them submit a recipe in the system to create more structure and be more specific about food ingredients. Ensuring less room to error. Also, ending specials on weekends so looking forward to all day waffles after spring break. Amy wants to hear your guys' opinions on flavored batter for the waffles. That's the end, thank you.

Committee Updates ~ *Committee Members* ~ 3 minutes

FAC

- Aisha: At our last meeting we started with a health check. We passed 7 requests including Outing Club's request for \$3011 for a dog sledding trip. We declined Chess Club's request for \$800 for a videographer for their chess wrestling event. This is because we know that there are existing cameras in the DRC and \$800 for a short one to two minute video is an unfair allocation of resources.

SOC

- Chloe: We've mainly been working towards having our check-ins done. We finished the email to send out to all the orgs. We've been working on the code and we met with E-Sports and Washi Club.

AAC

- Aditya: We finalized the GSAT grant bil and discussed the various study away costs and a possible student rep for the Serie Center as well as a possible faculty showcase event done in partnership with CEC. We'll be reaching out to Jen Jacobson regarding EMT subsidization soon.

CEC

- Mena: We've been working election communications. We've also been working on social media campaigns, one of them being Come to LB with Me and I've talked to some people about consent in filming but if people have problems with that please let me know. Also, we've launched an LB member of the week.

Announcements ~ 2 minutes

- If you sit on a campus committee, please <u>sign up to present!</u> ~ *Else*
 - Else: We're asking everyone if you sit on a campus committee to come give us a presentation. If you can sign up to present that would be helpful or just email me if you can't and I can give an update for you.
- Special Election ~ *Joel*
 - o Candidates Forum tonight at 7:30pm in JBD
 - Joel: For those that don't know we're holding a special election right now. We're holding one for junior class rep and one for sophomore class rep. Tonight at 7:30 in JBD we're holding a candidates forum.

• BoT Fundraising ~ *Joel*

Joel: To those who don't remember, the response written from President Rivera on behalf of the BOT following the rejection of divestment and announcement of peacemaking investments that said there will be "creation of a fund of Board contributions of up to \$50,000 that would match student fundraising in support of non-profit organizations providing relief in areas of violent conflict" I've been meeting with President Rivera, Gabe Karsh, Laurie Adamson, and Katheryn Kay Coquemont and we decided the next best stage of this is a group that includes MCSG and is managed by MCSG but is open to others interested to come up with a primary benefactor or set of primary benefactors of fundraising. That way we

can move forward in setting up the digital infrastructure of fundraising. If you're interested in being part of those conversations and decisions please let me know. I'm going to send out an email with the finalized language later but please let me know. Also if you have a friend who's the org leader of an org who's raised a lot of money for humanitarian causes of any cause please let me know. I'll send out an email about this because I think it's really important and I want to move fast on this because I want time to have the BoT give as much money as they can.

- Apply to be an $OL \sim Emi$
- Student Leadership Awards ~ Emi
- Emi: Orientation leader applications are due tomorrow at noon. Definitely apply to do it! You get to meet the new class, get paid, move in early, your meals are covered, and you get to hang out with me, Garrett, and Laurie before the academic year starts. The other thing is the Student Leadership Awards nominations are open. Among those are awards that your peers in SOC, AAC, and FAC evaluate. I'll put the links in the LB agenda. There are awards for student org of the year, student org outstanding program of the year, student org advisor of the year, peer educator of the year, and faculty staff educator for the year. Those are the MCSG specific awards but there are other great awards that you should totally nominate people for. The nominations are due February 28th. If you have questions let me know! You should nominate people, it's great.
- Liv: Meeting is adjourned!